# THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FAMILY LAWA ISSue 1, 2017 Volume 39, No. 1

MCLE Article: The Family Lawyer's Guide to Assisted Reproduction Law in 2016 By Deborah H. Wald, Esq. and Richard B. Vaughn, Esq.

Family Violence Appellate Project Finds Many Family Law Judicial Officers Fail to Respond Appropriately in Domestic Violence Cases By By Nancy K. D. Lemon and Jennafer Dorfman Wagner

**Understanding Issues Affecting Transgendered Youth** *By Avi Levy and Nathan Gabbard* 



# FAMILY LAW NEWSIssue 1, 2017Volume 39, No. 1TABLE OF CONTENTS

Message from the Chair
Message from the Editor
MCLE Article: The Family Lawyer's Guide to Assisted Reproduction Law in 2016 10 By Deborah H. Wald, Esq. and Richard B. Vaughn, Esq.
Deborah Wald and Richard Vaughn update their 2015 article on assisted reproduction with new statutes, cases and analysis of this cutting-edge area of the law. After you read it, answer 10 questions and receive one hour of MCLE self-study credit.
Not with my Child
By B J Fadem, Esq.
In this excellent article, B J Fadem introduces us to the basics of the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction. Even if you don't regularly practice in this area, you need to know this.
When and How to Respond to Online Reviews
By Stephen D. Hamilton
What can you do when a client posts a less-than-stellar review of your services on social media? Steve Hamilton reviews the legal and practical problems we face when a negative review demands a response, along with the professional rules that address the issue.
Family Violence Appellate Project Finds Many Family Law Judicial Officers Fail
to Respond Appropriately in Domestic Violence Cases
The Family Violence Appellate Project surveyed members of the California Partnership to End Domestic Violence, the Legal Aid Association of California and legal service providers about the most pressing challenges for domestic violence survivors in civil courts. This article summarizes their responses, pinpoints the challenges for domestic violence survivors and the need for judicial education in this very important area.
In Re Marriage of Olson Presents a Conundrum that Should be Addressed by
the Legislature 29   By Roger Rombro
In <i>In re Marriage of Olson</i> , the Second District held that a mother in a post-judgment dissolution of marriage proceeding had standing to seek a modification of child custody and child support, notwithstanding that she had been defaulted. In this article, Roger Rombro says that <i>Olson</i> created an exception to the jurisdictional issue of standing by concluding that by virtue of the state's public policy regarding its continuing jurisdiction

#### Family Law News

good idea, but is legislation needed?

The statements and opinions here are those of editors and contributors and not necessarily those of the State Bar of California, the Family Law Section, or any governmental body.

over children, a trial court has subject matter jurisdiction to address relief requested by a defaulted party. A

©2016 The State Bar of California, 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94105

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information regarding the subject matter covered and is made available with the understanding that the authors are solely responsible for the accuracy of law and facts cited, and the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought. The State Bar of California reserves the right to accept or reject any particular display ad. Publication of any such ad is not reflective of the opinion of the publisher.

#### 

By Avi Levy and Nathan Gabbard

Many of us have never dealt with transgender issues in our practices. In this article, Avi Levy and Nathan Gabbard educate us on this developing area of the law with a particular focus on transgender youth and how we can practice contemplative counseling and informed advocacy and awareness on these issues.

### 

By Leslie Dawson, CPA

What can you find out from reviewing a party's tax returns? Quite a lot, as CPA Leslie Dawson tells us in this excellent article. From income information to the details of depreciation to additional documents you must request, you can learn more than you think from a 1040 and its schedules.

Family Law Executive Committee Confers Awards at the State Bar Annual	
Meeting in San Diego4	10
Big Changes to Division of Military Retirement Benefits4	42
By John D. Hodson	

Did you know that Congress recently amended the FUSFSPA? It dramatically changed the way that military pension benefits can be divided in a divorce. John Hodson summarizes those changes for us.

#### 

Comments and tips on law office technology issues.

## Do you Have an Idea for a New Statute or a Change to an Existing One?

## Let Us Hear From You!

The Family Law Executive Committee—Affirmative Legislation is *seeking ideas* and proposals *for new legislation*. If you have any suggestions for new legislation or either revisions or amendments to existing statutes, please contact: *B J Fadem at bjfadem@fademlaw.com*. Family Violence Appellate Project Finds Many Family Law Judicial Officers Fail to Respond Appropriately in Domestic Violence Cases

Nancy K. D. Lemon and Jennafer Dorfman Wagner

#### Introduction to FVAP

he Family Violence Appellate Project (FVAP) is the only organization in California dedicated to appealing cases on behalf of domestic violence survivors and their children. Founded in 2012, it has so far been responsible for the publication of twenty-four appellate decisions involving domestic violence issues, primarily in family and juvenile courts. In many of these cases FVAP represented one of the parties, and in others the agency identified unpublished appellate decisions and successfully petitioned for their publication.

FVAP has also worked on legislative changes to California's Domestic Violence Prevention Act (DVPA), provided technical assistance to many attorneys and unrepresented litigants around the state, and trained hundreds of attorneys, advocates, mediators, custody evaluators, bench officers, and others regarding domestic violence issues arising in family law cases.

FVAP is the family law-related Support Center funded in part by the State Bar of California to assist legal services programs around the state by providing



Nancy K. D. Lemon has been a leading authority on domestic violence law for over three decades. A practicing attorney, she has also provided expert testimony in many types of cases. She has worked to craft many pieces of California legislation affecting survivors of domestic violence and their children. Since 1988, Professor Lemon has taught Domestic Violence Law and the Domestic Violence Practicum at UC Berkeley's School of Law. She authored the first textbook on domestic violence law in 1996, now in its 5th edition. In 2012, she co-founded the Family Violence Appellate Project, where she is the Legal Director.

Jennafer Dorfman Wagner has been a legal services attorney since 1997, including 12 years in a supervisory or managerial role. She has practiced in diverse geographic regions and in multiple subject areas in previous positions at the Mental Health Advocacy Project, a project of the Law Foundation of Silicon Valley in San Jose, California; Legal Action of Wisconsin; Washington DC Legal Clinic for the Homeless, and Nevada Legal Services. Since February of 2013, her practice has focused exclusively on domestic violence appeals and includes supervising pro bono co-counsel, paid and volunteer FVAP attorneys, and fellows. She has been intimately involved in identifying the legal issues facing domestic violence survivors in other areas of the state and developing the appellate legal strategy to address those issues.

free technical assistance and training. FVAP co-counsels with private attorneys as well as with legal services programs, leveraging its small staff to provide free representation to low- or moderate-income clients who have promising appeals involving domestic violence issues.

#### **Overview of Survey Results**

In October of 2016, FVAP released its **2016 Survey** of California Domestic Violence Service Providers, available at www.fvaplaw.org. The survey asked members of the California Partnership to End Domestic Violence and the Legal Aid Association of California about the most pressing challenges for domestic violence survivors in civil courts. FVAP also hosted a meeting of legal service providers in June 2015 to ask similar questions and incorporated comments from that event into the report. The survey received responses from 102 people from thirty-four counties, representing all regions of the state. Thirty-one percent of responders were attorneys; others were advocates. The results show some regional differences in the legal challenges faced by survivors of domestic violence, as well as many challenges they share. The top three legal challenges statewide are:

- 1. Courts failing to conduct DVPA actions properly, including failing to hear requests for financial support and custody;
- 2. Failing to appropriately consider abuse, resulting in improper and unsafe custody and visitation orders; and
- 3. Abusers using the legal system to continue the abuse.

#### **Restraining Orders**

An overwhelming number of advocates (close to 80% statewide) identified problems with obtaining restraining orders after hearings. Multiple continuances, repeated court dates, and reluctance to apply and enforce the DVPA were repeated themes.

Also, judicial officers that refuse to entertain or rule on requests for custody and support in DVPA actions were identified as problematic for domestic violence survivors in all phases of the domestic violence restraining order process. Many bench officers direct survivors to request custody, visitation, and support in paternity or dissolution actions rather than including these orders in DVPA hearings, even though the DVPA provides for a wide variety of relief including custody, visitation and support orders. This refusal to rule on custody and support requests is burdensome and expensive for litigants and creates more work for courts.

Throughout the state, advocates identified similar barriers to obtaining temporary restraining orders. The most significant barrier was courts that require notice of the request to the abuser before issuing such *ex parte* orders. This notice requirement, which varies from county to county, can endanger survivors and their children and have a chilling effect on their willingness to seek help from the courts.

#### **Custody and Visitation**

Responders in all areas of the state overwhelmingly identified improper custody and visitation orders as problems, with approximately 90% of responders statewide identifying such problems. This included judicial officers' failure to hear the requests for such orders in DVPA proceedings, refusal to apply the correct standards as mandated by the legislature and case law, and a general misunderstanding of the dynamics of domestic violence and its ramifications for parenting. This often results in orders that further endanger survivors and their children.

Responders also reported problems with custody evaluators and mediators, especially the failure to either properly consider domestic violence or account for it in the mediation process, which state law mandates be considered whenever parents are disputing custody or visitation. Given that many counties allow mediators to make custody and visitation recommendations to family court judges and that judges give great weight to the recommendations of child custody evaluators, this failure to properly consider domestic violence can lead to further abuse of survivors and their children.

#### **Financial Orders**

Eighty percent of responders throughout the state reported the inability or difficulty in obtaining attorneys' fees orders. Many report that this leads to a power imbalance in the courtroom and runs contrary to the statutory consideration that judges issue attorneys' fees near the beginning of litigation to help even the playing field. Also, a continuing theme in the survey results was the inability of parties to obtain financial orders such as spousal and child support in a timely fashion or to enforce such orders once obtained. Because abuse survivors often have limited access to financial resources that would help them to leave abusive relationships, this challenge can have devastating consequences.

#### **Litigation Abuse**

Another significant problem reported throughout the state by close to 90% of responders was the use of the legal process to continue the abuse, commonly known as litigation abuse or "paper" abuse. Reporters stated that the problem takes many forms, including the granting of repeated continuances and hearings in DVPA actions, frequently and/or improperly allowing litigation of changed circumstances in dependency and custody actions, and allowing the filing of multiple meritless motions in family court or DVPA actions. Litigation abuse in civil courts is increasingly being recognized as another tool that abusers employ to continue to control, harass, and wear down their former partners, who may eventually just give in, often because the abuse survivor has exhausted the financial resources he or she may have available.

#### Interstate and Jurisdictional Issues

Interstate and jurisdictional issues were also frequently reported as problematic, with more than 80% of responders highlighting such issues. Many narratives relaying the problem focused on courts' disbelief or reluctance to give fleeing victims relief. Survivors of abuse often cross state and national borders to try to achieve safety, typically fleeing to a jurisdiction in which they have family or other support. Courts' reluctance to provide the relief available under California's statutes and the appropriate international Conventions can lead to further abuse of survivors and their children if they are forced to return to and litigate in the abuser's jurisdiction. If they are not granted relief in the refuge state, some survivors will feel that they have no choice but to go underground with their children, risking losing custody to the abuser and a criminal conviction if they are located.

#### Conclusion

The findings from this survey are very concerning. They implicate large numbers of survivors of intimate partner abuse throughout our state. While California has a large body of statutes as well as many relevant appellate decisions designed to protect survivors of domestic violence and their children, our courts still have a long way to go in applying that law and keeping such survivors safe.

FVAP hopes that the survey report will help attorneys and other service providers in each region of the state understand shared challenges in their region and provide a tool to enable them to work together to try to solve local problems. FVAP also hopes the survey will provide the field with information about common challenges across the state so that FVAP and other service providers can work together to find statewide solutions that will help survivors and their children in all regions. Through meetings with domestic violence advocates, attorneys, bench officers, and other courtrelated personnel, FVAP hopes to raise awareness and a spark dialogue about how to improve local court practices and outcomes to best protect domestic violence survivors and their children. FVAP is also interested in hearing about potential appeals that raise issues highlighted in the survey. You can contact us at info@fvaplaw.org.

See also www.fvaplaw.org, which includes summaries of many published family and juvenile dependency court decisions and links to the decisions. Soon the website will include online trainings that qualify for MCLE credit.

# <section-header><section-header>

Contact Editor Dawn Gray for further information at dawn\_gray@earthlink.net.

# Family Law News Editorial Team

#### **Executive Editor**

Dawn Gray Email: dawn\_gray@earthlink.net

#### **Assistant Editor**

Stephen Hamilton sdh@hamiltonesq.com

#### **Contributing Editors**

Harold J. Cohn E-mail: hjcesq@gmail.com

Bonnie Riley Continuing Education of the Bar E-mail: bonnielriley@comcast.net

Debra S. Frank Law Offices of Debra S. Frank, APLC E-mail: dfrank@debrafranklaw.com

Marc Olson Style Editor E-mail: olson.marc@gmail.com Editorial Board Advisor John D. Hodson

#### **Production Coordinator**

Megan Lynch Sublime Designs Media megan@sublimedesignsmedia.com www.sublimedesignsmedia.com

# Family Law Section Executive Committee

#### Officers

David M. Lederman Chair, Council of Sections, Fam/Juv Liaison

Avi Levy Vice-Chair; Council of Sections, Fam/Juv Liaison

Fariba Soroosh Secretary, Mentoring Taskforce

Dorie Rogers Legislation Chair

#### Liaisons

Jon Heywood CEB Liaison

#### **Members**

Shauna Chastain Membership Chair

Jake Elter E-News Editor, Social Media Liaison, Website Liaison

Kendall Evans *Aff/Dev Asst. Chair* 

B.J. Fadem *Aff/Dev Leg Chair, Your Legal Rights Liaison* 

Renee Fairbanks Self-Study Chair, LP Vice-Chair

Demetria Graves Legislation Review, Membership Asst. Chair Dawn Gray Executive Editor, FLN

Abbas Hadjian Webinar Chair, Local Bar Association Liaison

Stephen Hamilton Asst. Editor, FLN

Amber Hayes Legislation Asst. Chair, Legislative Liaisons Coordinator (North)

Steven Hittelman LP Chair, Legislative Liaisons Coordinator (South)

John Neiman *Aff/Dev Asst. Chair* 

#### **Advisors**

Naghmeh Bashar Self-Study Oversight

Paul Bonnar *LP Oversight* 

Michele Brown Aff/Dev Oversight

Andrew Cain *E-News Editor* 

Dianne Fetzer Legislation Oversight

John Hodson *Oversight, FLN, Legislative Liaisons Oversight*  Vanessa Kirker-Wright Legislation Oversight, Bagley-Keene/ political analyst

Sherry Peterson LP Oversight

Melinda Sammis Webinar Oversight, LP Oversight

Diane Wasznicky Aff/Dev Oversight, Conference of Delegates Liaison

#### **Section Coordinator**

Mitch Wood Tel: (415) 538-2341 Fax: (415) 538-2368

# Section Administrative Assistant

Ana Castillo Phone: (415) 538-2071 Fax: (415) 538-2368

# Legislative Liaisons and Designated Recipients of Legislation

#### **Adoptions Liaison**

Chair: Lucia Reyes Levitt & Quinn Family Law 1557 Beverly Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90026 Phone: (213) 482-1800 Fax: (213)482-4225 Email: Ireyes@levitt-quinn.org

#### **Dependency Liaison**

Co-Chair: Deborah L. Hale Deputy County Counsel Office of the County Counsel 201 Centre Plaza Drive, Suite 1 Monterey Park, CA 91754 Phone: (323) 526-6100 Fax: (323) 881-3791 Email: dhale@counsel.lacounty.gov

Co-Chair: Liza M. Samuelson Deputy County Counsel Office of the County Counsel 201 Centre Plaza Drive, Suite 1 Monterey Park, CA 91754 Phone: 323-526-6100 Fax: 323-881-3791 Email: Isamuelson@counsel.lacounty.gov

#### **Regional Liaison — Bay Area**

Chair: Alescis N. Wichtowski Whiting, Fallon, Ross and Abel 101 Ygnacio Valley Rd., Ste 250 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Phone: (925) 296-6000 Fax: (925) 296-6001 Email: awichtowski@disso.com

#### **Regional Liaison — Central Valley**

Chair: Stacy Speiller Cash Dudley Speiller & Torres 518 13th Street Modesto, CA 953354 Phone: (209) 526-1533 Fax: (209) 526-1711 Email: stacyspeiller@aol.com

#### **Regional Liaison** — Kern County

Chair: Jeffrey Travis Law Office of Edward J. Thomas 5210 California Avenue, Suite 400 Bakersfield, CA 93309 Phone Number: (661) 322-1777 Fax: (661) 324-3660 Email: jeff@edthomas.com

#### Regional Liaison — Los Angeles

Chair: Anthony D. Storm Law Office of Anthony D. Storm 8665 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 400-E Beverly Hills, California 90211 Phone: (310) 504-2188 Fax: (310) 388-6033 Email: tony@astormlaw.com

#### Regional Liaison – Inland Empire

Chair: Chandra L. Moss Holstrom, Block and Parke 1897 California Ave., Ste. 102 Corona, CA 92881 Phone: (951) 734-6371 Fax: (951) 734-8832 Email: cmoss@hbplaw.com

#### Los Angeles Regional Sub-liaisons

#### ADR/CDR Liaison — LA

Co-Chair: Fern Topas Salka 11661 San Vicente Blvd. #500 Los Angeles, CA 90049-5113 Phone: (310) 207-1049 Fax: (310) 207-7299 E-mail: fern@wgn.net

Co-Chair: Sharon S. Kianfar Mediation Offices of Heidi S. Tuffias, Inc. APC 11812 San Vicente Blvd, Ste. 505 Los Angeles, CA 90049 Phone: (310) 207-2500 Fax: (310) 207-1600 E-mail: skianfar@heidituffias.com

#### **Regional Liaison — North Bay**

Chair: Louise Bayles Fightmaster P.O. Box 1960 Windsor, CA 95492-1960 Phone: (707) 348-2464 Email: Louise@fightmastermediation.com

#### **Regional Liaison — Sacramento**

Co-Chair: Steven A. Montagna Hodson and Mullin 727 Texas St. Fairfield, CA 94533 Phone: (707) 421-7800 Fax: (707) 421-2314 Email: Smontagna@hodsonandmullin.com

Co-Chair: Tiffany L. Andrews Law Offices of Tiffany L. Andrews 6611 Folsom Auburn Rd., Ste. H Folsom, CA 95630-2100 Phone: (916) 790-8440 Fax: (916) 988-8440 Email: tandrews@tlalawoffice.com

#### Regional Liaison — San Diego

Chair: Erika Collins Basie & Fritz 3638 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 280 San Diego, CA 92108 Phone: (619) 280-3000 Fax: (619) 280-3040

#### **Regional Liaison — San Francisco** Co-Chair: Katherine E. Shlaudeman

Co-Chair: Katherine E. Shlaudeman Law and Mediation Practice 220 Montgomery St 15th Fl San Francisco, CA 94104 Phone: (415) 397-1110 Fax: (415) 397-1577 Email: katherine@kslmpractice.com

#### **Regional Liaison — San Jose**

Chair: Sheila J. Peterson Law Office of Sheila J. Peterson 8080 Santa Teresa Blvd. #230 Gilroy, CA 95020 Phone: (408) 846-1000 Fax: (408) 413-5900 Email: sjplaw1@yahoo.com

#### California State Bar Web site: http://www.calbar.ca.gov

# Family Law Section Web site: http://familylaw.calbar.ca.gov

We solicit original manuscripts, which should be e-mailed to the *Family Law News* editor. Authors should provide sufficient information to permit adequate identification in the publication. The editorial staff reserves the right to edit submitted manuscripts as necessary. Edited manuscripts will be sent to authors for approval only where extensive revision might affect the article's substance. Publication deadlines do not allow time to send proofs to authors.

Manuscripts should be e-mailed to dawn\_gray@earthlink.net. In most cases, we can grant reprint permission to recognized professional organizations.

Inquiries regarding subscriptions should be sent to Inquires regarding subscription should be sent to Mitch Wood, State Bar of California, 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, California 94105-1614, mitch.wood@calbar.ca.gov (415) 538-2594.



PRSRT STD U.S. POSTAGE PAID DOCUMATION

Time-Sensitive Material

Issue 1, 2017 | Volume 39, No. 1

The State Bar of California Family Law Section 180 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105-1639