

**COPY**

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT  
DIVISION FIVE

DAVID FISHMAN,

Petitioner,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE CITY AND

COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,


Respondent;

SUSAN FISHMAN,

Real Party in Interest.

A151684

San Francisco No. FDI16785240



---

**BY THE COURT:\***

Pending further consideration of the petition for writ of mandate on file herein, further proceedings before the Honorable Gail Dekreon in *Fishman v. Fishman*, San Francisco City County Superior Court case No. FDI16785240, are temporarily STAYED until further order of this court.

Real party in interest may serve and file a response to the petition within fifteen (15) days of the filing of this order. Petitioner may serve and file reply points and authorities within fifteen (15) days of the filing of real party's response.

We advise the parties that this court might proceed by issuing a peremptory writ in the first instance. (See *Palma v. U.S. Industrial Fasteners, Inc.* (1984) 36 Cal.3d 171, 177-180.) Generally the court will employ "the accelerated *Palma* procedure ... only when petitioner's entitlement to relief is so obvious that no purpose could reasonably be served by plenary consideration of the issue ... or where there is an unusual urgency requiring acceleration of the normal process." (*Ng v. Superior Court* (1992) 4 Cal.4th 29, 35.)

JUN 30 2017

**Needham, J.**

Date \_\_\_\_\_, Acting P.J.

\* Before Needham, Acting P.J. and Bruiniers, J.